Union Calendar No.

119TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 4446

[Report No. 119-]

To amend title 38, United States Code, to modify the conditions under which the Secretary of Veterans Affairs is required to redevelop the individualized vocational rehabilitation plan for a veteran, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 16, 2025

Ms. Dexter (for herself, Mr. Messmer, Mr. McGarvey, and Mr. Van Orden) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs

September --, 2025

Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

A BILL

To amend title 38, United States Code, to modify the conditions under which the Secretary of Veterans Affairs is required to redevelop the individualized vocational rehabilitation plan for a veteran, and for other purposes.

1	Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2	tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
3	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
4	This Act may be cited as the "Focused Assistance
5	and Skills Training for Veterans' Employment and Tran-
6	sition Success Act" or the "FAST VETS Act".
7	SEC. 2. CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH SECRETARY OF VET-
8	ERANS AFFAIRS SHALL REDEVELOP INDIVID-
9	UALIZED VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION
10	PLAN.
11	Section 3107 of title 38, United States Code, is
12	amended in subsection (b)—
13	(1) by striking "The Secretary shall review"
14	and inserting "(1) The Secretary shall review";
15	(2) by striking the second sentence; and
16	(3) by adding at the end the following new
17	paragraph:
18	"(2) On the basis of such review, the Secretary
19	shall—
20	"(A) redevelop such plan with such veteran if
21	the Secretary determines the achievement of the
22	long-range rehabilitation goals for such veteran is—
23	"(i) no longer feasible due to changes in
24	the employment handicap of the veteran; and

4

1	"(ii) likelier under a different plan formu-
2	lated under subsection (a); or
3	"(B) disapprove redevelopment of such plan if
4	the Secretary determines such redevelopment is not
5	appropriate.".